Student Disciplinary Procedures

These grievance procedures govern Student Code of Conduct violations, except those involving academic integrity. “Sexual misconduct” includes the definition of ABOR policy 5-308 (E) (17) as well as any code violations related to domestic or dating violence.

The term “parties” refers to the Dean of Students and the student charged with misconduct. In sexual misconduct matters or matters involving violence, the person(s) against whom the alleged misconduct was committed also has the opportunity to participate as a party.

A. Initiation of Investigation
   1. The Dean of Students may initiate an investigation based on receipt of information from any source that a student may have violated the Student Code of Conduct.
   2. Where the alleged misconduct is related to discrimination or harassment, the Dean of Students will inform the Office of Equity and Inclusion, and in sexual misconduct cases, the Title IX Coordinator.
   3. The Dean of Students will provide the parties with written information on supportive services available at the university and in the community.

B. Interim Action
   1. The Dean of Students may restrict or suspend a student for an interim period appending a disciplinary proceeding if the Dean is aware of information that supports a misconduct allegation and believes that the student poses a threat of harm or substantial disruption.
   2. The decision to restrict or suspend a student for an interim period and the information upon which the information is based will be communicated in writing to all parties.
   3. A student who is restricted from campus or suspended for an interim period may request an opportunity to provide information to contest the restriction or interim suspension no later than five (5) business days following the effective date of the interim action. Based on information provided, the Dean of Students will determine whether the restriction or suspension should remain in place. The parties shall have an equal opportunity to provide information relevant to the restriction or interim suspension.
   4. The interim action will remain in effect until a final decision has been made on the pending charges or until the Dean of Students believes that the reasons for imposing the interim action no longer exist.
5. The Dean of Students may impose other forms of interim action, such as immediate removal from university housing or exclusion from one or more classes or other specific locations.

C. Investigation
   1. If the Dean of Students believes that there is a sufficient basis to believe that a violation of the Student Code of Conduct may have occurred the Dean will notify the student in writing of the alleged violation and initiate the investigative process.
   2. Members of the university community will be expected to comply with any request or directive issued by the Dean of Students in connection with an inquiry or investigation.
   3. All parties will be given timely notice of meetings taking place as well as access to information that will be used during the disciplinary meetings as permissible under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).
   4. Before concluding the investigation, the Dean of Students will provide all parties the following:
      a. an explanation of the charges which have been made
      b. a summary of the information gathered
      c. a reasonable opportunity for the student to reflect upon and respond on his/her own behalf to the charges
      d. An explanation of the applicable disciplinary procedures, including the parties’ right to request a hearing before a University Hearing Board if suspension, expulsion, or degree revocation is imposed.
   5. Before concluding the investigation, the Dean of Students will provide the parties with an opportunity to respond to all investigative materials.
   6. Students may accept responsibility for Student Code of Conduct violations and waive their rights to procedures provided by this policy. A student who fails to attend the meeting with the Dean of Students will forfeit the right to respond to the alleged violation, unless the student can demonstrate that an extraordinary circumstance prevented his/her appearance. If the student fails to attend the meeting, the Dean may proceed as described in paragraph D of this subsection.

D. Determination and Appeal
   1. The Dean of Students will determine whether it is more likely than not that a violation of the Student Code of Conduct has occurred and, if so, the appropriate disciplinary sanction to apply. In determining the sanction, the Dean will consider
any mitigating or aggravating factors, including any prior violations of the Student Code of Conduct. In sexual misconduct cases, this determination shall be made within sixty (60) days. If a determination cannot be made within sixty (60) days the Dean shall document the reasons.

2. The Dean of Students will provide the parties a written decision within five (5) business days. When feasible, this information will also be communicated in a face-to-face meeting.

3. The written decision will include a statement of the charges, the determination, and the sanction to be imposed, if any. This decision is final, unless a party requests a hearing to review a decision to suspend, expel, or revoke a degree. The effective date of a suspension (except for interim suspension) or expulsion may be no sooner than five (5) business days following the date of the notice.

4. If the sanction imposed includes either expulsion, suspension, or degree revocation, the student (and in sexual misconduct cases, the complainant) will be informed of the right to request a hearing before a University Hearing Board by filing a written request with the Dean of Students no later than five (5) business days following the date of the notice.

5. The filing of a timely written request for a hearing will suspend the imposition of the disciplinary sanction (except for interim suspension) pending the outcome of the hearing. A student who requests a hearing of his/her sanction of suspension or expulsion will not be permitted to graduate until the hearing process has been concluded. If the sanction is degree revocation, the university may refuse to release an official transcript until the hearing process has been concluded. If there is no hearing request, then the Dean of Students’ decision is final and effective immediately.

E. Review by University Hearing Board

1. Purpose of the Hearing Board
   The Hearing Board is the body that conducts hearings concerning suspensions, expulsions, and degree revocations. It is advisory and provides a recommendation to the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services who will make the final decision.

2. Composition of the Hearing Board
   a. The Hearing Board will be comprised of three members. One member must be a student and one of the other members will act as Hearing Board Chair.
   b. Each Hearing Board member shall at a minimum receive annual training on the issues related to dating violence, domestic violence, sexual assault and stalking and how to conduct an investigation and hearing process that protects the safety of victims and promotes accountability.
3. Pre-Hearing Procedures
   a. The Hearing Board members will be notified in writing of their selection.
   b. The Hearing Board Chair will prepare and send a written notice of the hearing to the parties no less than twenty (20) days before the date set for the hearing. The notice will be directed to the student’s ASU e-mail address. The notice will include:
      - (1) a statement of the date, time, location, and nature of the hearing, including a statement of the Hearing Board’s jurisdiction
      - (2) a copy of the sanction letter containing references to the code violations and a short plain statement describing the misconduct
      - (3) notice of the right to be assisted by an advisor, who may be an attorney
      - (4) a copy of or link to the Student Code of Conduct and these “Student Disciplinary Procedures”
      - (5) a list of the names of all Hearing Board members, and the university address of the Chair
      - (6) in sexual misconduct cases, notice regarding the restrictions on evidence of past sexual history and notice that the parties may not directly question one another but must submit written requests to the Chair
      - (7) the length of time set for the hearing and the time limitation for the presentation of evidence.
   c. If any of the parties cannot attend the hearing on the date scheduled for the hearing due to extraordinary circumstances, they must notify the Hearing Board Chair in writing who will determine whether to approve or deny the request to reschedule the hearing.
   d. No later than five (5) business days before the hearing, the parties shall exchange and provide a copy to the Hearing Board of the following:
      - (1) a list of the names of the witnesses who may be called to testify at the hearing
      - (2) a concise summary of the anticipated statements of each witness
      - (3) copies of all documents to be presented at the hearing
      - (4) if an advisor or attorney is being used, the name of the advisor or attorney. The university shall additionally provide the name and title of the university representative.
   e. To object to a document, a party must submit a written objection to the Hearing Board Chair no later than one (1) business day before the hearing.
After providing the other party an opportunity to respond, the Hearing Board Chair shall rule on any objections to submitted documents. Absent a timely objection, the documents received by the Hearing Board shall become part of the record and shall be considered.

f. A party may challenge the participation of any Hearing Board member on the grounds of personal bias by submitting a written statement to the Hearing Board Chair setting forth the basis for the challenge no later than five (5) business days before the hearing. The Chair will determine whether to sustain or deny the challenge. If a challenge is filed against the Chair, the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services will rule on the challenge. If the challenge is sustained, a replacement member will be appointed to serve on the Hearing Board.

g. Members of the university community will be expected to comply with any request or directive issued by the Hearing Board Chair in connection with a disciplinary proceeding. Upon specific request, the Chair will send a communication to a member of the university community requesting his/her presence at the hearing and giving notice of the university’s expectations.

h. The Hearing Board Chair may extend the times and deadlines required by these rules for good cause.

4. Conduct of the Hearing
   a. In order to preserve the confidential nature of the disciplinary process and to protect the privacy interests of those involved, the hearing will be closed to the public. Appropriate university administrators or staff may attend the hearing.

   b. The Hearing Board Chair will preside at the hearing and will rule upon all procedural matters. The formal rules of evidence will not apply, although objections to the introduction of specific statements or documents may be considered by the Chair. Irrelevant, immaterial, privileged, or unduly repetitious information will be excluded.

   c. The university representative will have the burden of proving that the student more likely than not violated the Student Code of Conduct and the reason for the sanction. If the student accepts responsibility for the violation charged, then the Hearing Board may focus the hearing on the appropriate sanction.

   d. Information regarding prior misconduct may not be used as proof of a current violation, but may be admitted for other purposes, such as to show that the student had prior experience relevant to the charge or to show that the student had previously been informed that the conduct was not acceptable. The Hearing Board may also consider information regarding prior misconduct in determining an appropriate sanction.

   e. A student who fails to appear or refuses to participate at the hearing will be deemed to have abandoned the request for an appeal, unless the student
can demonstrate that an extraordinary circumstance prevented his/her appearance or participation.

f. Any party may be assisted throughout the proceeding by an advisor. If the student or complainant is represented by an attorney, the university representative may also be represented by an attorney. An advisor or attorney may present the case for the party or may simply act as an advisor.

g. The hearing will be recorded manually or by a recording device and will be transcribed in whole or in part on request. The requestor will pay the cost of the transcript unless the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services waives the cost.

h. Except as otherwise permitted by the Hearing Board Chair, witnesses will be excluded from the hearing except during their own testimony. However, a victim, as defined by applicable law and provided rights to attend such hearings by law, will be permitted to attend the hearing.

i. In sexual misconduct cases, the student and complainant may not directly question one another. The Hearing Board Chair shall provide procedures for the submission of written questions and the Chair will determine which questions will be permitted. The Chair shall read into the record any questions that are deemed inappropriate and the parties shall have an opportunity to respond on the record.

j. In sexual misconduct cases, evidence related to the complainant’s sexual history will not be admitted.

k. The university shall proceed first at each stage of the presentation. The student appealing the decision shall go last.

 (1) Each party may present an opening statement, which summarizes what information is expected to be presented.
 (2) Each party will call witnesses to provide statements under oath.
 (3) At the conclusion of each witness’ statement, he or she may be questioned by the other party.
 (4) The Hearing Board may ask further questions of each witness.
 (5) Rebuttal witnesses may be called to refute statements made by any party.
 (6) Each party may present a closing statement which summarizes the information that has been presented.

F. Hearing Board Deliberations and Recommendation

1. Following the presentation of information and closing statements, and before reaching their decision, the Hearing Board will discuss the information that has been presented and the reasonable inferences to be drawn from it. Only the Hearing Board and its legal advisor, if any, may be present during the deliberations.

2. Based solely upon the information presented, the Hearing Board will formulate a recommendation to the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and
Student Services as to whether the student more likely than not violated the 
*Student Code of Conduct* and the appropriate sanction. Recommendations must be 
supported by a simple majority of the board.

3. The Hearing Board Chair will provide a written recommendation to the student, 
the Dean of Students, and the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and 
Student Services no later than three (3) business days following the conclusion of 
the hearing. The written recommendation will include findings of fact and a 
statement of the reasons for the recommendation, and will be signed by the Chair.

G. **Review and Decision by Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and 
Student Services**

1. Following a review of the Hearing Board’s recommendation, the Senior Vice 
President for Educational Outreach and Student Services will render a written 
decision which affirms, denies, or accepts the Hearing Board’s recommendation 
with modifications. The written decision shall be issued no later than twenty (20) 
business days following receipt of the Hearing Board’s recommendation, except 
when it becomes necessary to conduct further investigation or to remand the 
matter to the Hearing Board. In those cases the written decision will be 
transmitted no later than twenty business days following completion of the 
investigation or the Hearing Board’s subsequent recommendation.

2. If the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services does 
not accept the Hearing Board’s recommendation, the Senior Vice President will 
explain any variance from the recommendation in the final decision.

3. Copies of the written decision will be promptly transmitted to the student and 
Dean of Students (and in sexual misconduct cases, the complainant and the Title 
IX Coordinator).

4. The decision of the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student 
Services is a final decision from the date it is issued, unless a timely written 
request for review or rehearing as described in paragraph H is received by the 
Senior Vice President.

5. The letter from the Senior Vice President will also provide notice to the parties of 
the right to seek judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §12-904.

H. **Request for Review or Rehearing**

1. A party who is dissatisfied with the decision reached by the Senior Vice President 
for Educational Outreach and Student Services may request a review or rehearing 
by filing a written request with the Senior Vice President and sending a copy to 
all parties within fifteen (15) business days after the decision is issued. Any party 
may file a written response to the request within five (5) business days of the 
request.
2. A review or rehearing is not an appeal; it is an opportunity to make the decision-maker aware of irregularities or illegalities in the proceedings or of significant new evidence that could not have been provided to the Hearing Board for consideration before its decision. The request may only be based on one or more of the following grounds:
   a. irregularities in the proceedings, including but not limited to any abuse of discretion or misconduct by the Hearing Board or by the Dean of Students, which has deprived the opportunity for a fair and impartial disciplinary process
   b. newly discovered material evidence which could not have been presented during the fact-finding or hearing process
   c. excessive severity of the sanction
   or
d. the decision is not reasonably justified by the evidence or is contrary to law.

3. Following receipt of a request for review or rehearing, the Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services will first determine whether the request sets forth a proper ground for review or rehearing and then will make whatever review is deemed necessary.

4. The Senior Vice President for Educational Outreach and Student Services will provide a written response to the request for review or rehearing within fifteen (15) business days of receipt of the request. The response shall be sent to the student and the Dean of Students. The Senior Vice President may uphold or modify the previous decision or grant a rehearing on the issues raised by the request. The decision of the Senior Vice President is final.
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