Centennial Rubric

General guidelines for applicants

1. Jargons, if used, must be explained for graduate and undergraduate student reviewers from diverse backgrounds to review.

2. Please upload your personal statement, curriculum vitae and up to two letters of support as *.pdf files.

Personal statement

Personal statement						
 Maximum 	• Maximum five pages					
Critical el						
*						
 Content Teaching philosophy Applicant's primary educational values and how they contribute to ASU students Teaching development and Innovation Implementation of innovative teaching approaches in the classroom Education within/beyond the classroom Education within/beyond the classroom Development of critical thinking, mentorship opportunities and special projects connecting students with learning environments outside their programs Community service 						
1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points		
- Little or no	- Vague	- Somewhat clear	- Clear and detailed	- Clear and detailed		
- Little or no description of primary educational values along with little or no contributions to	- vague description of primary educational values along with vague contributions to	- Somewhat clear description of primary educational values along with vague contributions to ASU students. No real-world example(s).	- Clear and detailed description of primary educational values along with clear and specific details on	- Clear and detailed description of primary educational values along with clear and specific details on		

		Γ		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ASU students. No real-world example(s) (situation, strategy, outcome).	ASU students. No real-world example(s).		contributions to ASU students. Vague real-world example(s) (situation, strategy, outcome) demonstrating direct benefit to ASU students when operating from the space of mentioned primary educational values.	contributions to ASU students. Detailed real-world example(s) (situation, strategy, outcome) demonstrating direct benefit to ASU students when operating from the space of mentioned primary educational values.
- Little or no description of teaching approaches/aids with little or no emphasis on the innovative nature of chosen teaching approaches.	- Vague description of teaching approaches/aids with vague or no emphasis on the innovative nature of chosen teaching approaches.	- Somewhat clear description of teaching approaches/aids with vague emphasis on the innovative nature of chosen teaching approaches. Weak rationale for choice of teaching aids. Vague description on the appropriateness of teaching approaches to course type (for example, extensive handwork in a math class).	- Clear description of teaching approaches/aids with somewhat clear emphasis on the innovative nature of chosen teaching approaches. Moderate rationale for choice of teaching aids. Somewhat clear description on the appropriateness of teaching approaches to course type (for example, extensive handwork in a math class).	- Clear description of teaching approaches/aids with clear emphasis on the innovative nature of chosen teaching approaches. Strong rationale for choice of teaching aids. Clear and detailed description on the appropriateness of teaching approaches to course type (for example, extensive handwork in a math class).
- Little or no description on identifying lack of critical thinking, developing strategies to improve critical thinking and measures to assess the level of critical thinking. Little or no instances of mentoring opportunities including the context, beneficiaries and impact	- Vague description on identifying lack of critical thinking, developing strategies to improve critical thinking and measures to assess the level of critical thinking. Vague instances of mentoring opportunities including the context, beneficiaries and impact generated. Vague strategies	- Somewhat clear description on identifying lack of critical thinking, developing strategies to improve critical thinking and measures to assess the level of critical thinking. Somewhat instances of mentoring opportunities including the context, beneficiaries and impact generated. Somewhat clear strategies on creating special projects for students. Applicant makes minor	- Somewhat clear description on identifying lack of critical thinking, developing strategies to improve critical thinking and measures to assess the level of critical thinking. Clear, detailed and specific instances of mentoring opportunities including the context, beneficiaries and impact generated. Clear and somewhat detailed strategies on creating	- Clear and detailed description on identifying lack of critical thinking, developing strategies to improve critical thinking and measures to assess the level of critical thinking. Clear, detailed and specific instances of mentoring opportunities including the context, beneficiaries and impact generated. Creative, clear and detailed strategies on

generated. Little or no strategy on creating special projects for students. Applicant makes weak contributions and weakly support students.	on creating special projects for students. Applicant makes weak contributions and weakly support students.	contributions and weakly supports students.	special projects for students. Applicant makes moderate contributions and moderately support students.	creating special projects for students. Overall, the applicant demonstrates going above and beyond to make significant contributions and strongly support students.
- Lists academic and local community service. Little or no explanation positions held, term/duration, main responsibilities, interaction with and impact.	- Vague description about academic and local community service. Vaguely explains positions held, term/duration, main responsibilities, interaction with and impact.	- Somewhat clear description about academic and local community service. Somewhat clearly explains positions held, term/duration, main responsibilities, interaction with and impact.	- Clear description about academic and local community service. Somewhat clearly explains positions held, term/duration, main responsibilities, interaction with and impact.	-Excellent (clear and detailed) description about academic and local community service. Clearly explains positions held, term/duration, main responsibilities, interaction with and impact.
- Little or no budget plan with little or no description on need, rationale, price, quantity and total expense. Little or no description on impact with little or no measures for impact assessment.	- Vague budget plan with Vague need, rationale, price, quantity and total expense. Vague description on impact with vague measures for impact assessment.	- Somewhat clear budget plan with somewhat clear need, rationale, price, quantity and total expense. Somewhat clear description on impact with irrelevant measures for impact assessment. Weak impact on academic/local communities.	- Clear budget plan with somewhat clear need, rationale, price, quantity and total expense. Clear description on impact with appropriate and relevant measures for impact assessment. Moderate impact on academic/local communities.	- Effective, clear and detailed budget plan detailing the need, rationale, price, quantity and total expense. Excellent description on impact with appropriate and relevant measures for impact assessment. Strong impact on academic/local communities.
- Poorly organized structure with an inconsistent argument in poorly presented style, very difficult to follow and with several grammatical errors	- Somewhat organized structure with a somewhat consistent argument in a very dry style, difficult to follow and with a few grammatical errors	- Well-organized structure with a consistent argument in a dry style but somewhat easy to follow with some grammatical errors	- Very well-organized structure; clear and consistent argument in an accessible style; easy to follow with very few grammatical errors	- Highly organized structure; clear, consistent and compelling argument in a very accessible style; quite easy to follow without any grammatical errors

Curriculum Vitae

Curriculum Vitae	e				
Maximum five pages					
Critical e	• Critical elements:				
0	Teaching activities and professional/academic development				
	Accomplishment and contributions in the field of study (in proportion to the applicant's experience as a professor)				
	o Efforts to mentor ASU students beyond the classroom				
o 1	o Leadership roles in the community (academic/local) that potentially benefits students				
1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points	
- Demonstrates limited involvement and experience for most critical elements.	- Demonstrates some moderate involvement and experience for (at least) 2-3 critical elements.	- Demonstrates moderate involvement and experience in most critical elements.	- Demonstrates strong involvement and experience for all critical elements.	- Clearly demonstrates excellent involvement and remarkable experience for all critical elements.	

Letter(s) of Support

Letter(s) of Support					
• Letter must	• Letter must highlight:				
o Ap					
	classroom				
o Not	table impact on acader	nic/local communities	8		
o Spe	ecific examples/accour	nts supporting the mai	n points		
1 Point	2 Points	3 Points	4 Points	5 Points	
- Describes minor contributions; no community involvement and no examples	- Describes minor contributions; some community involvement but with vague examples	- Describes somewhat relevant contributions; moderate community involvement but with vague examples	- Describes relevant contributions; strong community involvement and somewhat specific examples	- Describes relevant contributions; excellent community involvement and specific examples	